Object Recognition: Conceptual Issues



Slides adapted from Fei-Fei Li, Rob Fergus, Antonio Torralba, and K. Grauman

Issues in recognition

The statistical viewpoint Generative vs. discriminative methods Model representation Generalization, bias vs. variance Supervision Datasets

Object categorization: the statistical viewpoint

• MAP decision: p(zebra | image)

VS.

p(no zebra/image)



Object categorization: the statistical viewpoint

• MAP decision: p(zebra | image)

vs. *p(no zebra/image)*



• Bayes rule:



Object categorization: the statistical viewpoint



Discriminative methods: model posterior

Generative methods: model likelihood and prior

Discriminative methods

• Direct modeling of p(zebra | image)



Generative methods

• Model p(image | zebra) and p(image | no zebra)



	p(image zebra)	p(image no zebra)
806	Low	Middle
	High	Middle→Low

Generative vs. discriminative methods

- Generative methods
 - + Interpretable
 - + Can be learned using images from just a single category
 - Sometimes we don't need to model the likelihood when all we want is to make a decision
- Discriminative methods
 - + Efficient
 - + Often produce better classification rates
 - Can be hard to interpret
 - Require positive and negative training data

Steps for statistical recognition

- How to model an object category
- Learning
 - How to find the parameters of the model, given training data
- Recognition
 - How the model is to be used on novel data

 Generative / discriminative / hybrid





- Generative / discriminative / hybrid
- Appearance only or location and appearance





- Generative / discriminative / hybrid
- Appearance only or location and appearance
- Invariances
 - Viewpoint
 - Illumination
 - Occlusion
 - Scale
 - Deformation
 - Clutter
 - etc.



- Generative / discriminative / hybrid
- Appearance only or location and appearance
- Invariances
- Global, sliding window, partbased





- Generative / discriminative / hybrid
- Appearance only or location and appearance
- Invariances
- Global, sliding window, partbased
- If part-based, what is the spatial support for parts?





 Unclear how to model categories, so we learn what distinguishes them rather than manually specify the difference -- hence current interest in machine learning







- Unclear how to model categories, so we learn what distinguishes them rather than manually specify the difference -- hence current interest in machine learning)
- Methods of training: generative vs. discriminative





- Unclear how to model categories, so we learn what distinguishes them rather than manually specify the difference -- hence current interest in machine learning)
- Methods of training: generative vs. discriminative
- Generalization, overfitting, bias vs. variance

Generalization

• How well does a learned model generalize from the data it was trained on to a new test set?

Bias-variance tradeoff

- Models with too many parameters may fit a given sample better, but have high *variance*
- Generalization error is due to *overfitting*



Bias-variance tradeoff

- Models with too many parameters may fit a given sample better, but have high variance
- Generalization error is due to *overfitting*



- Models with too few parameters may not fit a given sample well because of high *bias*
- Generalization error is due to *underfitting*



Occam's razor

- Given several models that describe the data equally well, the simpler one should be preferred
- There should be some tradeoff between error and model complexity
 - This is rarely done rigorously, but is a powerful "rule of thumb"
 - Simpler models are often preferred because of their robustness (= low variance)

- Unclear how to model categories, so we learn what distinguishes them rather than manually specify the difference -- hence current interest in machine learning)
- Methods of training: generative vs. discriminative
- Generalization, overfitting, bias vs. variance
- Level of supervision
 - Manual segmentation; bounding box; image labels; noisy labels
 - Task-dependent

Contains a motorbike



Spectrum of supervision





Definition depends on task

What task?

- Classification
 - Object present/absent in image
 - Background may be correlated with object

- Localization / Detection
 - Localize object within the frame
 - Bounding box or pixellevel segmentation



Datasets

- Circa 2001: 5 categories, 100s of images per category
- Circa 2004: 101 categories
- Today: thousands of categories, tens of thousands of images

Caltech 101 & 256

http://www.vision.caltech.edu/Image_Datasets/Caltech101/ http://www.vision.caltech.edu/Image_Datasets/Caltech256/





Griffin, Holub, Perona, 2007

Fei-Fei, Fergus, Perona, 2004

The PASCAL Visual Object Classes Challenge (2005-2009)

http://pascallin.ecs.soton.ac.uk/challenges/VOC/

2008 Challenge classes:

Person: person Animal: bird, cat, cow, dog, horse, sheep Vehicle: aeroplane, bicycle, boat, bus, car, motorbike, train Indoor: bottle, chair, dining table, potted plant, sofa, tv/monitor



The PASCAL Visual Object Classes Challenge (2005-2009)

http://pascallin.ecs.soton.ac.uk/challenges/VOC/

- Main competitions
 - Classification: For each of the twenty classes, predicting presence/absence of an example of that class in the test image
 - Detection: Predicting the bounding box and label of each object from the twenty target classes in the test image

The PASCAL Visual Object Classes Challenge (2005-2009)

http://pascallin.ecs.soton.ac.uk/challenges/VOC/

• "Taster" challenges

– Segmentation:

Generating pixel-wise segmentations giving the class of the object visible at each pixel, or "background" otherwise

– Person layout:

Predicting the bounding box and label of each part of a person (head, hands, feet)



Lotus Hill Research Institute image corpus

http://www.imageparsing.com/



Figure 5: Two examples of the parse trees (cat and car) in the Lotus Hill Research Institute image corpus. From [87].

Z.Y. Yao, X. Yang, and S.C. Zhu, 2007

Labeling with games

http://www.gwap.com/gwap/



Figure 1. Partners agreeing on an image in the ESP Game. Neither player can see the other's guesses.



Figure 2. Peekaboom. "Peek" tries to guess the word associated with an image slowly revealed by "Boom."

L. von Ahn, L. Dabbish, 2004; L. von Ahn, R. Liu and M. Blum, 2006

LabelMe

http://labelme.csail.mit.edu/



Russell, Torralba, Murphy, Freeman, 2008

80 Million Tiny Images

http://people.csail.mit.edu/torralba/tinyimages/



Dataset issues

- How large is the degree of intra-class variability?
- How "confusable" are the classes?
- Is there bias introduced by the background? I.e., can we "cheat" just by looking at the background and not the object?

Caltech-101



Summary

- Recognition is the "grand challenge" of computer vision
- History
 - Geometric methods
 - Appearance-based methods
 - Sliding window approaches
 - Local features
 - Parts-and-shape approaches
 - Bag-of-features approaches
- Issues
 - Generative vs. discriminative models
 - Supervised vs. unsupervised methods
 - Tasks, datasets