
Edge detection

- Goal: Identify sudden changes (discontinuities) in an image
 - Intuitively, most semantic and shape information from the image can be encoded in the edges
 - More compact than pixels
- Ideal: artist's line drawing (but artist is also using object-level knowledge)



Origin of Edges



Edges are caused by a variety of factors

Characterizing edges

• An edge is a place of rapid change in the image intensity function




Image gradient

The gradient of an image: $\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]$

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

The gradient points in the direction of most rapid increase in intensity

The gradient direction is given by $\theta = \tan^{-1}$

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} / \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$$

• how does this relate to the direction of the edge?

The edge strength is given by the gradient magnitude

$$\|\nabla f\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)^2}$$

Differentiation and convolution

Recall, for 2D function, f(x,y):

We could approximate this as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{f(x + \varepsilon, y)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{f(x, y)}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \approx \frac{f(x_{n+1}, y) - f(x_n, y)}{\Delta x}$$

This is linear and shift invariant, so must be the result of a convolution. (which is obviously a convolution)

Finite difference filters

Other approximations of derivative filters exist:

Prewitt:


$$M_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 ;
 $M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

 Sobel:
 $M_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 ;
 $M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

 Roberts:
 $M_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 ;
 $M_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

Source: K. Grauman

Finite differences: example




Which one is the gradient in the x-direction (resp. y-direction)?

Effects of noise

Consider a single row or column of the image

• Plotting intensity as a function of position gives a signal



Where is the edge?


Effects of noise

- Finite difference filters respond strongly to noise
 - Image noise results in pixels that look very different from their neighbors
 - Generally, the larger the noise the stronger the response
- What is to be done?

Effects of noise

- Finite difference filters respond strongly to noise
 - Image noise results in pixels that look very different from their neighbors
 - Generally, the larger the noise the stronger the response
- What is to be done?
 - Smoothing the image should help, by forcing pixels different to their neighbors (=noise pixels?) to look more like neighbors

Solution: smooth first




• To find edges, look for peaks in $\frac{d}{dx}(f * g)$

Source: S. Seitz


Derivative theorem of convolution

- Differentiation is convolution, and convolution is associative: $\frac{d}{dx}(f*g) = f*\frac{d}{dx}g$
- This saves us one operation:




Source: S. Seitz

Derivative of Gaussian filter




Is this filter separable?

Derivative of Gaussian filter



Which one finds horizontal/vertical edges?

Tradeoff between smoothing and localization



1 pixel3 pixels7 pixels

Smoothed derivative removes noise, but blurs edge. Also finds edges at different "scales".


Implementation issues



- The gradient magnitude is large along a thick "trail" or "ridge," so how do we identify the actual edge points?
- How do we link the edge points to form curves?

Designing an edge detector

- Criteria for an "optimal" edge detector:
 - **Good detection:** the optimal detector must minimize the probability of false positives (detecting spurious edges caused by noise), as well as that of false negatives (missing real edges)
 - **Good localization:** the edges detected must be as close as possible to the true edges
 - **Single response:** the detector must return one point only for each true edge point; that is, minimize the number of local maxima around the true edge



Canny edge detector

- This is probably the most widely used edge detector in computer vision
- Theoretical model: step-edges corrupted by additive Gaussian noise
- Canny has shown that the first derivative of the Gaussian closely approximates the operator that optimizes the product of signalto-noise ratio and localization

J. Canny, <u>A Computational Approach To Edge Detection</u>, IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 8:679-714, 1986.

Canny edge detector

- 1. Filter image with derivative of Gaussian
- 2. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
- 3. Non-maximum suppression:
 - Thin multi-pixel wide "ridges" down to single pixel width
- 4. Linking and thresholding (hysteresis):
 - Define two thresholds: low and high
 - Use the high threshold to start edge curves and the low threshold to continue them

MATLAB: edge(image, 'canny')



original image (Lena)



norm of the gradient




thresholding




thinning (non-maximum suppression)


Non-maximum suppression




At q, we have a maximum if the value is larger than those at both p and at r. Interpolate to get these values.



Source: D. Forsyth




Assume the marked point is an edge point. Then we construct the tangent to the edge curve (which is normal to the gradient at that point) and use this to predict the next points (here either r or s).



Source: D. Forsyth

Check that maximum value of gradient value is sufficiently large

- drop-outs? use hysteresis
 - use a high threshold to start edge curves and a low threshold to continue them.



Hysteresis thresholding



original image



high threshold (strong edges)




low threshold (weak edges)



hysteresis threshold


Effect of σ (Gaussian kernel spread/size)



The choice of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ depends on desired behavior

- large σ detects large scale edges
- small σ detects fine features

Edge detection is just the beginning...



Berkeley segmentation database:

http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Research/Projects/CS/vision/grouping/segbench/

Next: Corner and blob detection

